WASHINGTON

81-510

SECRET/NF

September 11, 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF STATE

THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE

THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

THE DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND

TECHNOLOGY POLICY

THE DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

THE DIRECTOR, U.S. ARMS CONTROL AND

DISARMAMENT AGENCY

SUBJECT:

Establishment of a Coordinating Committee

on Technology Transfer

We propose to establish a committee to serve as an informal group to deal with issues of shared concern among Executive Departments in technology transfer, especially those of intelligence, compliance, counterintelligence and foreign illegal exports, operational and coordinating matters as required and agreed to by participants. The coordinating committee would emphasize militarily sensitive or dual-use technologies. The new committee would replace the Ad Hoc Committee on Technology Transfer.

We would appreciate receiving the name of your representative at your early convenience. All participants should have an SI clearance. Please make initial contact with Janet Colson, Special Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, at 456-2255.

FOR THE PRESIDENT:

Richard V. Allen

Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs

SECRET/NF

Review on September 11, 1987

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/01/07: CIA-RDP84B00049R000400810011-2
SECRET T Roshist?
Responses to Follow-up Questions on Pipeline
Questions One and Five With the exception of West
Germany, all the major West European governments offer subsidized
credits to support exports to the USSR, either through direct
subsidies to commercial banks (the case in Italy) or by offering
below-market rediscounting facilities at the national banks or
treasury (the case in France). West Germany, on the other hand,
only provides official guarantees for bank supplier credits
extended to German exporters. In the past the difference between
the market rate charged German exporters and the quoted rate

For the Yamburg project, the German financing package is likely to include a mix of below-market 8-percent notes and market-rate notes. According to our information, large-diameter pipe will be sold at market financing (about 12-13 percent) while equipment will be financed with credits of about 8.5 percent. Another 2 percent will be built into equipment prices. The remaining 2 to 3 percent will apparently be subsidized by the Bundesbank.

offered the Soviets has been made up in the contract price of the

goods exported -- in effect there has been little or no subsidy

involved. Any risk of default would be borne by the German

government through the loan guarantee program.

We know of no direct link tying gas prices to concessionary financing. As long as Rhurgas negotiates prices independent of equipment sales, negotiations on such an arrangement would be difficult to conclude. Outside Germany, however, where the negotiations involve national gas distribution companies and

SECRET

25X1

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/01/07 : CIA-RDP84B00049R000400810011-2
SECRET
government subsidy mechanisms, an implicit tradeoff between
discounted financing and gas pricing would be easier to
arrange.
Question Two We do not believe that Moscow is pressing
Western Europe for an immediate decision on a second pipeline.
The Soviets have told potential suppliers of pipeline equipment
that only one line is to be built for operation in the mid-
1980s. Moscow has said that construction of a second line could
begin before 1985, but the Soviets are not yet seeking formal
agreements on such a project. The only apparent linkage made by
Moscow between the first and second lines is a promise to give
prospective compressor suppliers entering the lowest bid on the
single line a first crack at orders for the second line when it
does come up for negotiation. We have received no real evidence
that the West Europeans are being urged to sign up for more gas
than the single pipeline could deliver.
Question Three Although some agreements on equipment
sales could come before decisions on the quantity and price of
gas deliveries are worked out, most supplier contracts probably
will not be signed until after these decisions. Moscow will
prefer to know what its hard currency revenues from the project
will be before it commits itself to specific quantities and
prices of pipeline equipment and services. A general contractor
for the compressor stations may be signed up before October, but
the Soviets will reserve the right to approve subcontractural

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SECRET

Contracts for pipe deliveries will be made

agreements.

annually.

25X1

25**X**1

Question Six -
that GDF has been given the go-ahead to negotiate; we read little more into the cable than that. The French Government still has made no final decision on a new energy plan; although any plan will almost certainly project a fairly large volume of foreign gas requirements still to be contracted, Paris, and GDF, will remain undecided on the source of this additional gas until firm prices are discussed.

25X1

Question Seven -- Our understanding is that the Italian credit agreement is in principle only. At the moment, it is probably neither an open line of financing nor related to specific equipment purchases. Implementation of the agreement will depend on detailed equipment negotiations, further financing decisions, and final terms of the gas contracts themselves.

25X1 25X1

Question Eight -- There has been no progress thus far on

SECRET

25X1

negotiations for the large Japanese financial package for the Siberia-to-Western Europe gas pipeline. If no agreement on a large credit line can be reached, the Japanese could well extend all financing for the pipeline on a case-by-case basis such as the recently reported authorization of an Exim Bank loan of about \$360 million to cover the possible sale of compressors for eight of the pumping stations. Japanese exports of large diameter pipe, of pipelayers, and of other construction equipment for the proposed pipeline could be incorporated in annual sales and financing agreements. There is no way to tell for sure for which projects these goods are intended.

Question Nine -- The West Europeans are aware of technical problems with Algerian LNG facilities, although we doubt that they are aware of the extent of technical difficulties facing Algeria in the production of the Hassi R'Mel gas field. None of the Algerian technical problems are insurmountable, however, and they could be quickly rectified if Algiers believes it has attained success in price negotiations or if it is forced to sell the gas to meet revenue needs. The main fear the West Europeans have is that Algeria may once again curtail gas deliveries over pricing or other disputes.

Question Ten -- Support for the gas project in Western

Europe appears to range from general acceptance of an apparently
mutually beneficial business deal to strong support from
exporters and governments. Some elements of the banking
community remain skeptical of the profit potential, but
practically no bankers appear truly concerned about increasing

e recorr	
SECRET	

ا 25X1

2	5	>	(

SECRET

Soviet debt exposure. In addition, no important opposition political parties have come out firmly against the project. The main concerns are about gas volumes and prices:

- o To be competitive, the gas must be purchased at near the price of heavy fuel oil -- a price well below what the Soviets are currently trying to obtain.
- o The West Europeans generally are basing their projected gas needs on forecasts that are around two years old.

 The forecasts do not take into account the marked slowdown in West European gas demand over the past 18 months or so -- a trend that may well continue.

25X1

The West Europeans regard the pipeline as their problem and have already demonstrated their resentment of US "interference." They see US opposition as driven by a selfcentered and somewhat confused anti-Soviet campaign -- a campaign diluted considerably in West Europeans eyes by our decisions to lift the grain embargo and to approve the Caterpillar pipe-taying equipment contract. Further US pressure, particularly on political grounds, could thus be counterproductive. On the cother hand, a well-defined, low-key campaign involving senior US Government officials and our Embassies in Western Europe could help stimulate, focus, and publicize the uncertainties concerning the market for Soviet gas in Europe. The fact that it is difficult to find enough room for the gas, that demand projections are likely to decline further, and that prices are softening also could be more pointedly discussed and more broadly publicized.

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/01/07 : CIA-RDP84B00049R000400810011-;

SECRET	

With respect to alternative gas sources, the most relevant are Algeria, Nigeria, and Norway. A definite US withdrawal from the Algerian and Nigerian LNG markets would improve the West Europeans' bargaining positions with these countries. Although Algeria at least has not been regarded as a secure energy source, both that country and Nigeria will need the income from large additional gas sales badly, and will, in their cown interests, have to accept reasonable terms. Beyond thelf980s, Norway is the only large nearby alternative supplier of additional gas for Western Europe. US influence on Norwagian gas policy is minimal, but the gas resources needed to fill West European needs are almost certainly there.

25X1

25X1

SECRET